

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(2013) KLR VOL 2 PART 324 pp. 623 - 884

FEBRUARY 2013

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Founder/Publisher

C. L. Onwuegbuna Esq. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

FEBRUARY CONTD.

13. Adeleke v. Lawal p. 623
14. Adeyefa v. Bamgboye p. 647
15. Ameen v. Amao p. 667
16. Associated Discount House Ltd. v. Minister of FCT p. 685
17. Duru v. Federal Republic of Nigeria p. 705
18. Matanmi v. Dada p. 725
19. Musa v. State p. 749
20. Ndulue v. Ojiakor p. 771
21. Nwite v. State p. 799
22. Okarika v. Samuel p. 807
23. Salim v. Congress for Progressive Change p. 829
24. Udo v. Registered Trustee of the Brotherhood of the Cross & Star p. 847
25. Yisi Nig. Ltd. v. Trade Bank Plc. p. 863

ii **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2013) 2 KLR**

ACTIONS - Commencement - Wrong procedure - Such procedure does not constitute jurisdictional issue - Since the lapse does not defeat cause of action - Except where specifically stated in the rules of court (H5) *Udo v. Rgd. Trust. Brotherhood of Cross & Star* p. 847

ACTIONS - Declaratory relief - Proof - Plaintiff must establish the relief to the satisfaction of court - As such relief is not granted - Even on admission by defendant (H1) *Matanmi v. Dada* p. 725

ACTIONS - Hearing - Interlocutory injunction - Since parties in suit no. HOS/134/64 differ from those in HRE/4/97 - Granting of the injunction will prejudice trial in the substantive suit (H4) *Adeleke v. Lawal* p. 623

APPEALS - Application for extension of time - Propriety - Since appellant who later filed proper appeal was out of time - C.A. should have granted the prayers in the motion (H3) *Nwite v. State* p. 799

APPEALS - Concurrent findings - Since the findings of the lower courts were not supported by evidence - Supreme Court will intervene - By virtue of its powers in S.C. Act s. 22 (H4) *Matanmi v. Dada* p. 725

APPEALS - Court - Findings - Interference - Appellate court remains slow in departing - From findings made by trial court - Except to correct errors (H2) *Udo v. Rgd. Trust. Brotherhood of Cross & Star* p. 847

APPEALS - Court - Issue - Formulation - Appellate court is not bound by issues formulated by parties - As it can identify appropriate issues - Provided no new issue is introduced (H2) *Yisi Nig. Ltd. v. Trade Bank Plc.* p. 863

APPEALS - Courts - Findings - Court of Appeal was right in its reasoning for upholding respondents' counter claim - Hence Supreme Court cannot deviate from same (H3) *Ameen v. Amao* p. 667

APPEALS - Fresh issue - Determination - Court must be satisfied that

it has the facts of the new point - And that were the points raised at lower courts - The same would have remained unsatisfactorily determined (H3) Udo v. Rgd. Trust. Brotherhood of Cross & Star p. 847

APPEALS - Grounds - Absence of particulars - Such absence is of no moment - As what is needed is that the other party knows precisely - What is in contest on appeal (H2) Ameen v. Amao p. 667

APPEALS - Grounds - Not raised from judgment - Fate - Such grounds and issues formulated therefrom - Are liable to be struck out (H1) Ndulue v. Ojiakor p. 771

APPEALS - Issue - Basis - Issue must arise from one or a combination of grounds of appeal - But more than one issue should not be framed from one ground (H1) Yisi Nig. Ltd. v. Trade Bank Plc. p. 863

APPEALS - Issue - Objection to - Based on any valid law can be raised - Though the Constitution & S.C. Rules - Have not made provisions relating to the point or issue (H1) Okarika v. Samuel p. 807

APPEALS - Jurisdiction - Fresh issue on - Appellate court can accommodate such issue - Provided appellant does not introduce - New line of defence different from those of parties at lower court (H4) Udo v. Rgd. Trust. Brotherhood of Cross & Star p. 847

APPEALS - Notice of appeal - Amendment - By Supreme Court Rules O. 8 r. 4 - Notice may be amended with leave of the court - In appropriate cases (H1) Udo v. Rgd. Trust. Brotherhood of Cross & Star p. 847

APPEALS - Notice of appeal - Artificial person - Signature - Where appeal proceeds from company or law firm - The notice must be signed by the legal representative (H4) Okarika v. Samuel p. 807

APPEALS - Notice of appeal - Natural person - Signature - Notice of appeal proceeding from such person - Must be signed by appellant - Or his counsel as the circumstances demand (H3) Okarika v. Samuel

iv **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2013) 2 KLR**

p. 807

APPEALS - Notice of appeal - Signature - Validity - The notice signed by H.E. Wabara & Co is invalid - Since it does not qualify as a legal practitioner - As statutorily defined (H5) Okarika v. Samuel p. 807

APPEALS - Notice of appeal - Signing - By C.A. Rules O. 16 r. 4(1) - Every notice of appeal or application for leave to appeal - Shall be signed by appellant not counsel - Except as provided in r. 4(5)(6) (H1) Nwite v. State p. 799

APPEALS - Notice of appeal - Signing - By C.A. Rules O. 4 r. 4(1) - Appellant is only required to personally sign his notice of appeal - Which must not be done at a particular location (H1) Duru v. FRN p. 705

APPEALS - Notice of appeal - Validity - As there is no valid appeal - Court of Appeal ought to have made an order - Striking out the appeal (H2) Nwite v. State p. 799

APPEALS - Right of appeal - Guarantee - Court must ensure that the right as enshrined in the Constitution - Are neither unduly fettered nor frustrated (H4) Duru v. FRN p. 705

BANKING - Bank account - Credit to - Evidence before trial court shows - That appellant's account was credited with \$82,560 - Within his knowledge (H3) Yisi Nig. Ltd. v. Trade Bank Plc. p. 863

COURT PROCESSES - Appeals - Jurisdiction - An initiating process must be valid - To confer jurisdiction on court - In order to adjudicate between parties on a subject matter in dispute (H2) Okarika v. Samuel p. 807

COURTS - Issues - Determination - Sagay v. Sajere - To arrive at just decisions - Court must not only ascertain the facts in contention - But also deftly apply the law relevant to the issue (H2) Duru v. FRN p. 705

COURTS - Judgment - Review - The court became functus officio after granting the order of mandamus - But can revisit same where application is made timeously - To set aside the order for default of appearance (H5) Associated D.H. Ltd. v. Minister FCT p. 685

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Confession - Retraction - The fact that accused resiled from his confession - Does not negatively affect evaluation of evidence made by court (H2) Musa v. State p. 749

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Confession - Validity - A voluntary confession is sufficient to support conviction - Once it meets with the six tests - Laid down by Supreme Court (H3) Musa v. State p. 749

DOCUMENTS - "Certify" - Meaning - It means to authenticate or vouch for a thing in writing - Or to attest as being true or as represented (H6) Adeyefa v. Bamgboye p. 647

DOCUMENTS - Admissibility - Pleadings - For document to be admissible in civil proceedings - It must not only be pleaded by plaintiff - But must also be relevant (H5) Adeyefa v. Bamgboye p. 647

DOCUMENTS - Public documents - Admissibility - Under Evidence Act s. 110 - Such documents must be duly certified - Before they can be admissible in evidence (H4) Adeyefa v. Bamgboye p. 647

DOCUMENTS - Unpleaded documents - Fate - Since nothing shows that the unpleaded documents were tendered and admitted in Customary Court - They cannot be admitted on appeal (H7) Adeyefa v. Bamgboye p. 647

ELECTIONS - Courts - Jurisdiction - Supreme Court cannot interfere - Since the Lower courts correctly held that the matter is spent - As appellant delayed to claim his rights after the election (H2) Salim v. Congress for Progressive Change p. 829

ELECTIONS - Pre election matters - Jurisdiction - By Electoral Act 2011 s. 87(9) - Federal High Court no longer enjoys exclusive jurisdiction over such matters (H1) Salim v. Congress for Progressive

Change p. 829

EVIDENCE - Contradiction - Weight - Error in evidence of PW1 is minor discrepancy - Which neither affected his credibility - Nor weight of evidence of prosecution (H4) Musa v. State p. 749

EVIDENCE - Documentary & oral evidence - Relationship - The latter throws more light on the former - As documentary evidence is used as hanger on which to hang oral evidence (H2) Ndulue v. Ojiakor p. 771

EVIDENCE - Unchallenged evidence - Pleadings - Where party fails to give evidence - Or fails to challenge evidence of his adversary - He is deemed to have accepted such evidence (H3) Ndulue v. Ojiakor p. 771

INJUNCTIONS - Definition - It is a court order prohibiting the doing of some specified act - Or commanding to undo some wrong (H2) Adeleke v. Lawal p. 623

INJUNCTIONS - Grant - Preconditions - Applicant must inter alia show in his affidavit - That there is existence of legal right - Substantial issue to be tried - And a balance of convenience (H3) Adeleke v. Lawal p. 623

INJUNCTIONS - Grant - Purpose - Being an equitable remedy - Injunction is granted discretionarily - To preserve the subject matter in dispute - Or to maintain the status quo (H1) Adeleke v. Lawal p. 623

JUDICIAL PRECEDENTS - Kojo v. Bonsie - Principle - Where traditional evidence of parties are inconclusive - Court should consider recent facts - To determine which of the evidence is probable (H3) Matanmi v. Dada p. 725

LAND LAW - Title - Proof - Title can be proved through any of the five modes - But one mode will suffice - If properly established to the satisfaction of court (H2) Matanmi v. Dada p. 725

OBJECTIONS - Preliminary Objection - Notice of filing - By S. C. Rules O. 2 r. 9 - Appellant must be given three days notice to the hearing - To enable him prepare a proper response (H1) Ameen v. Amao p. 667

ORDERS OF COURT - Mandamus - Meaning - It is an extraordinary writ issued by court - Compelling performance of an act - Usually when there is a duty under the law to perform the act (H1) Associated D.H. Ltd. v. Minister FCT p. 685

ORDERS OF COURT - Mandamus - Setting aside - Application - Since appellant initiated the process by mandamus - It is only the Minister who is a party - That can apply to set aside the order (H2) Associated D.H. Ltd. v. Minister FCT p. 685

PARTIES - Actions - Consistency - Party who is successful at trial court - Ought not to be confronted with new case on appeal - Simply because his adversary decided to bring his case in dribbles (H3) Adeyefa v. Bamgboye p. 647

PARTIES - Joinder of - Basis - Odeleye v. Adepegba - Defendant can be joined where plaintiff's or defendant's case in existing action - Cannot be effectively determined without the joinder (H4) Associated D.H. Ltd. v. Minister FCT p. 685

PARTIES - Joinder of - Proper time - Nnaji v. Aneke - Order for joinder or non joinder may be made at anytime - Upon application of either party - But such must be done if the action is pending (H3) Associated D.H. Ltd. v. Minister FCT p. 685

RAPE - Evidence - Corroboration - Although corroboration is desirable in rape - Trial Judge can convict on uncorroborated evidence - After having watched the demeanor of accused (H5) Musa v. State p. 749

RAPE - Ingredient - Supreme Court will not interfere with concurrent conviction - Since the infant victim gave evidence of having been

penetrated by accused (H1) Musa v. State p. 749

STATUTES - Interpretation - Principle - Intendment of law maker is deciphered - By assigning literal meaning - To the unambiguous words of the statute - And interpretation by court is limited to the words (H3) Duru v. FRN p. 705

SUPREME COURT - Document - Objection - Tendering of documents in the court are subject to valid objection - Since they cannot be cross examined upon - Unless the court assumes role of trial court (H1) Adeyefa v. Bamgboye p. 647

SUPREME COURT - Fresh evidence - Admission - It must inter alia be shown that it was impossible to obtain such evidence at trial - And the evidence must be credible though not incontrovertible (H2) Adeyefa v. Bamgboye p. 647

TRESPASS - Land law - Title - Possession - Where title has been proved to reside in the other party - Possession is of no moment - As the act of the possessor translates as trespass (H4) Ameen v. Amao p. 667

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Constitution of Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999 (as amended), ss. 87(10), 251 *Salim v. Congress for Progressive Change* p. 829

Constitution of Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999, s. 251(1)(e) *Udo v. Rgd. Trust. Brotherhood of Cross & Star* p. 847, s. 36(6)(a) *Musa v. State* p. 749, ss. 35(2), 36(4)(6), 243(1)(b) *Duru v. FRN* p. 705

Court of Appeal Rules 2002, O. 3 r. 15 (1)(3), O. 4 r. 4(1) *Duru v. FRN* p. 705

Court of Appeal Rules 2007, O. 11 rr. 1 & 5, O. 16 r. 4(1)(5)(6) *Nwite v. State* p. 799

Court of Appeal Rules 2011, O. 6 r. 2(4) *Okarika v. Samuel* p. 807

Electoral Act 2010 (as amended), s. 138(i)(d) *Salim v. Congress for Progressive Change* p. 829

Electoral Act 2011, s. 87(9) *Salim v. Congress for Progressive Change* p. 829

Evidence Act 1990, ss. 74, 104 *Adeyefa v. Bamgboye* p. 647, s. 83(3) *Udo v. Rgd. Trust. Brotherhood of Cross & Star* p. 847, ss. 46, 146 *Ameen v. Amao* p. 667

Evidence Act 2011, s. 28 *Musa v. State* p. 749

Evidence Act Cap 40 Laws of Northern Nigeria 1963, s. 178(5) *Musa v. State* p. 749

Evidence Act Cap E14 LFN 2004, s. 42(1)(a) *Musa v. State* p. 749

Federal High Court (Civil Procedure) Rules, O. 13 r. 35(15), O. 51(1)(2) *Udo v. Rgd. Trust. Brotherhood of Cross & Star* p. 847

Federal Territory Act Cap 124 LFN 1990, s. 18(c) *Associated D.H.*

x INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES IN (2013) 2 KLR

Ltd. v. Minister FCT p. 685

Land Use Act, s. 36(1)(2)(3)(4) Ameen v. Amao p. 667

Legal Practitioners Act Cap L11 LFN 2004, ss. 2(1), 24 Okarika v. Samuel p. 807

Penal Code Laws of Kano State, ss. s. 275, 282(1), 283 Musa v. State p. 749

Supreme Court Act, s. 22 Matanmi v. Dada p. 725, s. 22 Salim v. Congress for Progressive Change p. 829

Supreme Court Rules 1999 (as amended), O. 1 r. 12(1) Adeyefa v. Bangboye p. 647, O. 8 r. 2, O. 9 r. 3(1) Okarika v. Samuel p. 807, O. 8 r. 4 Udo v. Rgd. Trust. Brotherhood of Cross & Star p. 847, O. 8 rr. 2(3)(4)&(5) Ndulue v. Ojiakor p. 771

Supreme Court Rules 2005, O. 2 r. 9, O. 3 R. 9 Ameen v. Amao p. 667

Survey Law Cap.124 Laws of Anambra State 1963, s. 17 Ndulue v. Ojiakor p. 771